

History 700.1

Trevor Getz

3 Units

Fall 2005

Dr. Trevor Getz
Science 220
415.338.7561
tgetz@sfsu.edu

Office Hours: 12:30-2 T/TH

This course is a graduate level survey of recent developments in the research and writing of history as practiced by professional historians. Time limitations require that the course be selective rather than comprehensive, and students should bear in mind that the course will raise many questions that cannot be answered during a particular class meeting or possibly even during the semester.

Course Requirements and Grading:

Seven book reviews (out of eight)	35%
One Historiography essay	30%
One Review and Critique presentation	20%
Participation in discussions	15%

Evaluation of Student Performance:

Thorough and critical reading of assigned materials is an essential first step for successful work in this course. Students should also come to class prepared to discuss larger issues of the discipline of history raised in the assignments. Students are urged to read all the articles scheduled for seminar presentations, in order to be prepared to discuss issues raised in the articles. Questions and comments should be posed in a spirit of constructive criticism, i.e. analytical in substance and supportive and collegial in style.

Seminar presentations and historiography essays will be evaluated on the basis of the effectiveness of the structure, style, analysis, and use of sources. Guidelines for the book reviews are detailed below.

Participation in seminar discussions is mandatory, not optional, and will be evaluated according to criteria listed below. Students should be prepared to play active roles in both initiating and guiding discussion during class meetings.

Academic Honesty

Plagiarism is a form of cheating or fraud; it occurs when a student misrepresents the work of another as his or her own. Plagiarism may consist of using the ideas, sentences, paragraphs, or the whole text of another without appropriate acknowledgment, but it also includes employing or allowing another person to write or substantially alter work that a student then submits as his or her own. Any assignment found to be plagiarized will be given an "F" grade. All instances of plagiarism in the College of BSS will be reported to the Dean of the College, and may be reported to the University Judicial Affairs Officer for further action.

Schedule

Aug 29: Introductory Meeting

Guide texts to themes in modern 'H'istory and historiography

Sept 5: Brown, Callum G., *Postmodernism for Historians*, Longman/Pearson, 2005, 0582506042

Sept 12: Patrick Manning, *Navigating World History: Historians Create a Global Past*, Palgrave Macmillin, 2002, 1-4039-6117-4.

Sept 19-26: Anthony Molho and Gordon S. Wood, *Imagined Histories: American Historians Interpret the Past*, Princeton, 1998, 0-691-05811-3

Monographs

Oct 3: Classical history and beyond

Woolf, Greg, *Becoming Roman : The Origins of Provincial Civilization in Gaul*, Cambridge University Press; New Ed edition, 2000, 0521789826 .

Oct 10: Using oral sources and linguistic evidence/ African history

Vansina, Jan, *How Societies are Born: Governance in West Central Africa before 1600*, University Press of Virginia, 2004, 0813922798.

Oct 17: Using documents/ Atlantic history

Jorge Cañizares-Esguerra, *How to Write the History of the New World: Histories, Epistemologies, and Identities in the Eighteenth-Century Atlantic World*, Stanford University Press, 2001, 0804746931.

Oct 24: Borders and interaction

James F. Brooks, *Captives and Cousins: Kinship and Community in the Southwest Borderlands*, North Carolina, 2002, 0-807-85382-8

Oct 31: Discussion of articles

Nov 7: Identity/ Imperial history

Catherine Hall, *Civilising Subjects: Metropole and Colony in the English Imagination*, 18301867, University of Chicago Press, 2002, 0226313352.

Nov 14: Modern US/ US and the world

Mary A. Renda, *Taking Haiti: Military Occupation & the Culture of U.S. Imperialism, 1915-1940*, University of North Carolina, 0807849833

Nov 28: Political history/ The Caribbean

Bailey, Anne, *African Voices of the Atlantic Slave Trade: Beyond the Silence and the Shame*, Beacon Press, 2006, 0807055131.

Dec 7: Revisionism/ European History

Michael Shermer & Alex Grobman, *Denying History: Who Says the Holocaust Never Happened and Why Do They Say It?*, University of California, 2000, 0-520-23469-3

Dec 14: Discussion of papers

Evaluation

Assignments: Classroom Discussions

Regular class attendance and active participation in discussions is a requirement in this course. The quality of your class participation is obviously important, and the ideal would be frequent, high quality, participation. We will always be guided by the questions of critical reading and analysis mentioned above and outlined below, and the following points tend to characterize effective participation.

1. Are the points made substantive and relevant to the discussion? Are they linked to the comments of others?
2. Do comments show that the participant has been listening?
3. Do comments clarify and highlight the important aspects of earlier comments and lead to a clearer statement of the concepts being covered?
4. Is the participant willing to interact with other class members?
5. Do comments show evidence of analysis?
6. Do comments add to our understanding?
7. Does the participant distinguish between facts, opinions, beliefs, and between positive and normative analysis?
8. Is there a willingness to test new ideas?

Constructive class participation is an essential part of this seminar. I will monitor discussion during each class period. If I come to believe that a particular student is not participating as actively as I think he or she should, then I will make a point of calling on the student in hopes of eliciting a more satisfactory level of class involvement.

When we discuss assigned books, we shall organize our work in relation to the seven-point guide given under 'Book reviews' below, as well as in relation to the Critical Reading guide sheet. At the very beginning of the course be sure to read these materials carefully and be prepared to answer the questions in relation to the book under discussion.

Assignments: Book Reviews

Your reviews should be no longer than 1000 words in length, typed and double-spaced. Include a word count at the end of the review. Use a type font no smaller than 12. A review that does not meet these requirements will be returned without a grade for revision. All reviews must be turned in at the beginning of the class period on which they are due. Late reviews will be discounted one full grade except in cases of documented illness.

No two books are alike so guidelines for book reviews must be general. There is, however, one basic rule: in order to write a good review you must think carefully about the book and about your report. Since reviews are 1,000 words, you must take pains to organize and present your thoughts with maximum precision, clarity and conciseness.

Begin your review with the author, title, and facts of publication, using a standard [CMS] bibliographical form, e.g.:

Phythian-Adams, Charles. *Local History and Folklore: A New Framework*. London: Bedford Square Press, 1975.

Here are seven questions the answers to which *must* form the substance of your review. Answer each of them in the order given. Devote a separate section to each and *number your sections to correspond with the number of the question*.

1. What is the author's purpose in writing the book?
2. What is the author's thesis?
3. How does the author organize his or her material? What is the logic behind the topics of the chapters and how do the chapters go together to make a book? You should be aware that there is almost always a “fit” between the thesis of the book and its organizational logic. Each points to the other. Thus, if you are in doubt about the thesis, pay attention to the organizational logic, and vice versa. In your review *include an explicit statement about the fit between the book's organization, its thesis and its purpose*.
4. What theories guide the author's work? Sometimes you will have to dig out the answer to this question. Do *not* give a laundry-list of theories. Discuss leading and secondary ones, explicit and implicit ones. This section can include a brief summary of the book, as well as the material describing theories. But make sure that the summary is tied into the primary issue of theories.
5. What sources does the author use to develop the thesis of the book and why are they used? Do *not* give a laundry-list of sources. Discuss types of sources used, types not used, and the reasons for turning to some kinds of sources rather than others. *Include an explicit statement about the pertinence of types of sources in light of the author's thesis and theory*.
6. How well is the author's purpose accomplished? In this section you have an opportunity to make an original, critical evaluation of the book. You will want to address the issues of what is well done, poorly done, and originally done.
7. Here you must relate the book to the subject of the course. How does it fit in with the issues raised and discussed in the course to the date of writing?

N.B.: Be sure to *cite exact pages* in your answers to each question and to *number the pages of your review*. Use *nothing smaller than a “12” (pica) font*. Keep a one inch margin on the top, bottom and right side of the paper and a one and a half inch margin on the left side.

Assignments: Historiography Essay

The purpose of this assignment is to provide students an opportunity to synthesize the various readings on the discipline of history in a format similar to that used in professional journal articles. You will write a 7,500 to 10,000 word essay on “New Perspectives in History” (type font no smaller than 12). In writing your essay, you should draw primarily on the required course reading, and secondarily on the collateral reading listed in the syllabus. You may of course consult additional readings, but not in lieu of the course readings.

Assignments: Review and Critique Presentation

- 1) Find at least one scholarly critique of the book in question from an academic journal. If you find a very rigorous and controversial review, you may wish to use just one. Otherwise, you may wish to use several. You may wish to consult J-STOR or Academic Search Elite in order to find scholarly critiques. Your overall presentation should last for approximately 10 minutes. **NOT LESS!** There will be two presentations for each monograph (at least).

- 2) Bring in a copy of the review for everyone in the class (including the instructor)
- 3) Be prepared to give an analytical presentation of the review and its relationship to the text in question.
- 4) Address the following questions explicitly
 - a. Who is the author of the review? What is his/her experience, approach, etc.
 - b. What is the author's evaluation of the text? Be explicit.
 - c. Do you agree with the review? Your evaluation of the validity of the points made by the reviewer should be informed by your reading of the monograph.
 - d. What can we learn about the topic of the class meeting from this particular review; how does the article contribute to an understanding of this particular field of historical research and writing?

Rehearse your Report. Rehearsal will allow you to improve your presentation. If you do not rehearse, you will probably have trouble keeping your report within the time available. Rehearsal will also help you keep eye contact with the audience. It is vital that you do not read your report. Be prepared, also, for questions from the class and from the instructor. Think ahead about the kinds of questions that relate your work to the theme of the class meeting and to the course generally. Finally, remember that both the class and the instructor are on your side; stay calm, relax, and don't rush through your presentation.